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Some statistics

- A total of 18 forms filled.......>25? stakeholders present at meeting

- 10 stakeholders filled for both (inception and the situation as at 31st Dec 2010)

- Some sheets had ratings for all sheets no reasons for ratings
As the project evolves, the platform continues to reflect the project goals, and includes all relevant stakeholders.

- Stakeholders play active role and this is evident in all activities of the project.
- The activities are in line with the goal.
- Most of the stakeholders are present and the program highlight the goals.
- More stakeholder have been included.
Task of the research-policy platform is identified and modus operandi agreed upon. Targets to achieve for platform as a whole, and its individual members, are set. The platform carries out self-assessments to monitor the attainment of these targets.

- There has been improvement
- Stakeholders are involved in the decision making process
- Assessment have been carried out but more can be done
- ??
The platform, together with the project team, has developed scenarios linking urbanization, water resources management and climate change.

- This 3rd meeting has included climate change
- Water management improvement
- Project is still at basic level
- ???
- Not yet done
- Scenarios are yet to be developed
On the basis of these scenarios, the platform has developed a strategic plan for adapting to climate change through water resources management.

- Not yet done
- Outcome has not been clarified
- I have not seen the plan yet
- Improvement
Strategic plan presented to key policy and decision makers during policy roundtables for recommendation

- Who are the key policy makers? Not aware of this
- Don’t know of such meetings
- This has not been achieved at this stage
- I have not seen the plan yet
- Not yet done
Members of the platform continuously convey messages between their respective organizations and the platform

- Not yet done
- I don’t think this activity exist
- Did not receive any message
- Depends on the intensity of communication
- Channel still unclear
- Improvement
- Inter sectorial coordination has been part of the project from inception
Members meet regularly to share experiences and review progress on the implementation of strategies identified by the platform:

- Critical success factor
- URadapt project team conveyed a meeting
- Improved
- As part of the activities to achieve V and M, regular meeting is imperative
- Today's meeting is an example
- Meeting period is far spent. Quarterly meeting is ideal
- Not yet done
- We intend to do better if we are able to commit more time to it
Stakeholder organizations on the platform have incorporated some of the adaptation recommendations.

- As part of the planning procedure, the recommendations are very much considered.
- No plans as of now.
- Recommendations not yet in the strategy.
- Improved.
- This contributions are being incorporated.

Water for a food-secure world.
Vulnerable groups have access to information, and as a result, are better positioned to contribute towards decision-making.

- Vulnerability can affect decision making
- Still in the early stages
- I can see public education has really improved
URAdapt outputs are supporting Accra, Addis Ababa and other Africa cities in making informed decisions to build urban resilience

- The support is very low
- Activity yet to be done
- There has been improvement
Cities enjoy climate change resilient water-based services.

- There has been no significant change over the years
- Activity yet to be done
- To sustain urban agriculture and food production
Thank You!
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. The team should develop a communication strategy.
2. The consistency of platform members should be kept.
3. The goals of the project should be reemphasized.
4. Progress makers could be quantitatively expressed.
5. Cite examples to clarify indicators.
6. Indicators should be broken down to sub-details.
7. The team should start thinking about policy influence.
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